

Pupil premium strategy statement: Milton Road Primary school

| 1. Summary information | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|------------------------------------|----|--|----------|--|--|
| School | Milton Road Primary | | | | | | |
| Academic Year | 2019/20 Total PP Budget £57,360 Date of most recent PP Review Ju | | | | | | |
| Total number of children | 420 | Number of children eligible for PP | 39 | Date for next internal review of this strategy | Dec 2019 | | |

| 2. Current attainment | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| End of KS2 (end of A | Academic Year 2018-19) — inform Children eligible for PP 2 (Total cohort 60) % of cohort 3% From FFT Performance summary | Children eligible for PP – Not SEN 1 (Total cohort 60) % of cohort 1.5% From FFT Performance summary | Children not eligible for PP 57 (Total cohort 59) % of cohort 97% From FFT Performance summary |
| % achieving ARE or above in reading, writing & maths | 50% | 100% | 76% |
| % achieving Higher standard in reading, writing & maths | % | 0% | 14% |

| % achieving ARE or above in reading | 50% | 100% | 88% |
|--|-----|------|-----|
| % achieving Higher standard in reading | 0% | 0% | 56% |
| % achieving ARE or above in writing | 50% | 100% | 85% |
| % achieving Higher standard in writing | 0% | 0% | 15% |
| % achieving ARE or above in maths | 50% | 100% | 88% |
| % achieving Higher standard in maths | 0% | 0% | 49% |
| % achieving ARE or above in GPS | 50% | 100% | 91% |
| % achieving Higher standard in GPS | 0% | 0% | 54% |

| Current attainment | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| End of KS1 (end of Academic Year 2018-9) — information taken from Y2 SATs results | | | | | | | | |
| | Children eligible for PP 3 (Total cohort 60) % of cohort 5% From KS1 SATs analysis (Perspective & Target Tracker) Children eligible for PP — Not SEN 53 (Total cohort 2 (Total cohort 60) % of cohort 90 % of cohort 3% From KS1 SATs analysis (Perspective & Target Tracker) (Perspective & Target Tracker) | | | | | | | |
| % achieving ARE or above in reading, writing & maths | 66.7% | 100% | 80%* | | | | | |
| % achieving Higher standard in reading, writing & maths | 0% | 0% | 25%* | | | | | |
| % achieving ARE or above in reading | 66.7% | 100% | 85% | | | | | |
| % achieving Higher standard in reading | 33% | 50% | 50% | | | | | |

| % achieving ARE or above in writing | 66.7% | 100% | 82% |
|--|-------|------|-----|
| % achieving Higher standard in writing | 0% | 0% | 27% |
| % achieving ARE or above in maths | 66.7% | 100% | 87% |
| % achieving Higher standard in maths | 0% | 0% | 48% |

^{*}Whole cohort

| 3. Bar | riers to future attainment (for children eligible for PP including high ability) |
|-----------|---|
| | |
| In-school | bl barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills) |
| Α | Some children eligible for PP have a range of social, emotional and mental health difficulties which are affecting their readiness to learn |
| В | Some children eligible for PP have gaps in prior learning or have struggled to aquire early skills in reading, writing and maths. |
| С | Some children eligible for PP lack the skills of confidence, independence and resilience in their approach to learning. |
| D | Some children eligible for PP demonstrate poor skills of attention and concentration which affects their readiness to learn. |
| Externa | barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates) |
| E | Attendance rates for some children eligible for certain PP children are low which has an impact on progress and achievement. |
| F | Complex family circumstances in the home have an impact on the children's emotional wellbeing in school. This is a barrier to progress. |
| G | Parental engagement with school and perceptions of education causing lack of support for learning and limited opportunities outside of school |

| 4. Ou | utcomes | |
|-------|--|--|
| | Desired outcomes and how they will be measured | Success criteria |
| A,F | The social and emotional well-being of vulnerable children is protected and enhanced, children are able to learn and make progress. Effective staff support in place to support children's Social, Emotional and mental health. | Vulnerable children across the school are identified at the earliest opportunity and their social, emotional and mental health needs are appropriately addressed. 15% of our pupil premium children are previously looked after, the emotional wellbeing of these children is closely monitored and supported. Fewer behaviour incidents are reported by staff. Children make at least expected rates of progress, as evidenced by pupil outcomes. |
| В | Gaps in learning are identified and targeted teaching/interventions teach to gaps. All teaching to be good or better in whole class teaching and interventions and assessment information used by teachers and support staff to target and close gaps for PP children. | Targets for individual children met. Progress gap to narrow between PP and non PP students Gaps close in attainment. Evidence of impact of intervention programmes demonstrated through pupil outcomes and pre and post intervention assessment data. |
| С | Children can access learning in class because their physiological, safety, belongingness and esteem needs are met. | Children are ready to learn in class without the need for intervention and display positive attitudes to learning. Number of interventions to ensure children are ready to learn is reduced. |
| D | Children can access learning in class as they are supported to develop concentration and attention skills in approach to their learning. | Children are ready to learn in class without the need for intervention and display positive attitudes to learning. Number of interventions to ensure children are ready to learn is reduced. |
| E | Increase the rate of attendance for those eligible for PP funding. Targeting PP children for attendance planning mtgs. Involving External agencies where appropriate. | Attendance for 90% pupil premium children of compulsory school age will reach the target of 95%. For those remaining support from appropriate external agencies will be offered. |
| G | Parents feel supported by the school leading to improved engagement with their children's education | Increase the families eligible for PP funding engagement with the school and learning. Parents routinely attend parents' evenings and other homeschool link events. Extended parent school meetings offered for targeted pupil premium families 100% uptake of these. Feedback shows that |

| | | parents are confident in their relationship with the school and feel well informed about their child's progress and how they can support them. |
|---|--|---|
| G | Children eligible for PP will have access to the same opportunities as their peers with regard to curricular and extra-curricular activities, including day trips, residential trips, music lessons, swimming clubs etc. | % figures show that children eligible for PP take part in curricular and extra-curricular opportunities and feedback shows that parents and children feel confident in accessing these. |

5. Planned expenditure 2018-9 Academic year The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the children premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies. i. Quality of teaching for all Chosen action / approach How will you ensure it is Staff lead When will **Desired outcome** What is the evidence and rationale for implemented well? this choice? vou review? Half termly A, C The social and Whole staff training on Attachment-aware and trauma-informed Inclusion Behaviour logs emotional wellbeing of practice can help to support some of the (or more Attachment. SLT and teaching staff to Manager vulnerable children is most vulnerable children in our schools, (IM) regularly if Inclusion Manager and monitor protected and selected teaching staff including those who are looked after. required) Observations of learning enhanced, children are (Virtual school head Darren Martindale) to attend relevant and behaviour of children able to learn and make training (FBA, through learning walks. FBA is effective for challenging behaviours progress. attachment, loss and Case Studies of children and understanding the purpose of the bereavement, Regular liaison with Seperation) and provide behaviours. (Cambridgeshire SEND external agencies services) CPD for all staff. Lessons learned Outcome Reduction in recorded incidents of severe escalation of behaviour in Any new behaviour management strategy needs wide dissemination children supported by those receiving attachment training. around school to all staff body. Observations of behavior for individuals show considerable reduction in Ensure all team around a child are aware of strategies. challenging incidents. Attachment training and initial STEPs training delivered to staff. Sutton Trust found that, 'The effects of Raising quality of English and maths leaders SLT. IM. Half termly **B** Gaps in learning are high-quality teaching are especially Maths and identified and targeted teaching for all children will carry out regular teaching/interventions significant for children from English lead. Increase focus on monitoring, along with SLT disadvantaged backgrounds: over a school • teach to gaps. All SLT to monitor level of children premium

year, these children gain 1.5 years' worth

of learning with very effective teachers

expectations and

engagement of children

teaching to be good or

better in whole class

children and offer CPD

around effective

| teaching and interventions and assessment information used by teachers and support staff to target and close gaps for PP children. • Teachers and support staff's appraisal linked to children's progress. • All vulnerable children to have personalised targets. Evidence from Sutton Trus importance of personalised imp | d feedback. appraisal linked to children's progress gh PPR so all Governor monitoring |
|--|---|
|--|---|

Outcome

- Scrutiny of work demonstrates good use of feedback and priority for PP children.
- Progress figures for Pupil Premium children without additional SEND are better than for non PP children in all three core subject areas.
- Progress rates for PP with SEND are comparable to those with non-PP SEND children.

Lessons learned

- Ensure focus on PP remains, QFT with the continuation of marking first and prioritizing during lesson time.
- Continue with provision of individualised targets for all PP children.

Total budgeted cost £6,000

| ii. Targeted support | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Desired outcome | Chosen action/approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff lead | When will you review? | | |
| A, F The social and emotional wellbeing of vulnerable children is protected and enhanced, children are able to learn and make progress. | Counselling offered in school every week to work with identified children 1:1/small group support from Family Support Worker, e.g. to increase self-esteem etc Secondary Transition Support – Romsey Mill provide significant pastoral support to identified children and their families 1:1/ small group Play therapy support | Learning interventions have an identifiable and valuable impact on attitudes to learning and social relationships in school. | Regular reviews with Family Support Worker Monitoring behaviour logs Observations of learning and behaviour of children through learning walks. Case Studies of children Regular liaison with external agencies | IM, Family worker, DH | Termly (or more regularly if required) | | |

Outcome

- 75% children felt better after counselling following, the majority (88%) felt counselling had "helped me a lot", 63% said they know where to go for help in the future.
- SDQ scores (emotional screening questionnaire) for children receiving play therapy showed significant improvement for all children. Positive feedback from teachers and parents.

Lessons learned

- Continue with offering of counselling and emotional well-being support for children.
- Ensure impact is measured using SDQ or counsellor derived feedback measure.

B Gaps in learning are identified and targeted teaching/interventions teach to gaps. All teaching to be good or better in whole class teaching and interventions and assessment information used by teachers and support staff to target and close gaps for PP children.

To support children Identified through Progress Meetings who are at risk of slow progress and run appropriate interventions. Part fund TAs to deliver 1:1/small group interventions (according to need) to be provided before and during school.

e.g.

- 1st Class @ Number
- Success @ Arithmetic
- ERT
- Nessy
- Dough disco
- Sensory circuits
- Numicon
- Bespoke interventions
- Additional teacher and TA to provide support (intervention and booster) for Y6

Ensure that our children have opportunities for small group/1:1 intervention with trained Teaching Assistants.

EEF report that research which focuses on TAs who provide 1:1 or small group support shows a positive benefit. EEF also report that where the tuition is delivered by TAs there is evidence that training and the use of a structured programme is beneficial.

Through pre and post baseline assessments we have gathered evidence which shows children make accelerated progress through these interventions.

Monitor through:

- half termly children progress meetings
- ½ termly data analysis
- Monitoring and feedback
- Book sampling
- Pre and Post intervention
- assessments
- SEN Support Plan meetings
- for children with SEN
- reviewing targets set for individual children

IM, SLT Half termly

| Using TT: 28% a of group pass P pass). ERT: 100% good demonstrated. Five minute books | gress in intervention assessment, 1 ccel progress, 57% expected, 15% I honic screen, 50% of group score 2° d progress using scheme assessmentox: 80% achieve GLD score in reamber: av progress 1yr8mnths in 8 r | imited. Yr 1 group 33% 7/40 or above (32 t, using TT not ding. | • Continue to | o monitor interventions. | | |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------|
| D Children can access learning in class as they are supported to develop concentration and attention skills in approach to their learning. | To support children Identified through Progress Meetings and teacher assessment. Part fund TAs to be trained for deliver 1:1/small group interventions • Shared Attention games | The EEF Toolkit sugges interventions, matched students with particular most effective. Information from Pupil highlight the need for sindividual children. | d to specific ar needs, are the | Monitor through: • half termly children progress meetings • ½ termly data analysis • Learning walks (observations focused on targeted children) • Monitoring and feedback • Book sampling | IM; Class Teacher | Half-termly |
| Outcome • Reduction in inc | cidents of withdrawal behaviours ol | oserved. | _ | r TAs and timetabling implication ons are fully implemented. | s required to e | nsure new |
| iii. Other approaches | | | | Total I | oudgeted cost | £28,000 |
| Desired outcome | Chosen action/approach | What is the evidence a | and rationale for | How will you ensure it is | Staff lead | When will |
| | | this choice? | | implemented well? | | you review? |

| E Increase the rate of attendance for those eligible for the PP funding | Monitor pupils and follow up quickly on absences Provide support for parents from IM and family worker | Importance of attendance on school performance. NfER briefing for school leaders identifies addressing attendance as a key step. | | Regularly reporting to EWO. Regular review of attendance data | IM, Attendance lead, EWO | Half termly |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------|
| seen. Figures the | a number of PP families around a | | | egular monitoring with attendanc | e officer and c | ommunication |
| G Parents feel supported by the school leading to improved engagement with their children's education Children eligible for PP across the school have the same opportunities as their peers, e.g. to access day trips, residential trips, swimming lessons, music lessons, clubs etc. | Extended parent consultations for parents of identified PP children to encourage engagement. Support vulnerable families in funding extracurricular activities, e.g. residential visits, day trips, music lessons, chess tuition, clubs etc. by subsidising these | EEF report that 'parental involvement is consistently associated with pupil's success at school' By subsidising trips, clubs etc we ensure all children have the same opportunities The Sutton Trust toolkit identifies positive gains in progress for Arts participation, outdoor learning and sports participation as having positive effects on pupil outcomes. | | All children included for trips Take up of this subsidy will be monitored by the School Office Manager and finance clerk | IM, SLT, School office staff | Half termly |
| Funding offered | k from parents and teachers arour for a wide variety of extra-curricul ents, and school trips, including res | ar activities, including | Lessons learned • Investigate | e more recording to present impac | ct. | |

| Total budgeted cost | £7,500 |
|---------------------|--------|
|---------------------|--------|